

Overcoming Barriers to Clean Energy

Presentation to the Utah Energy Forum

December 9, 2008

Wayne Shirley

Director



The Regulatory Assistance Project

Vermont ♦ Maine ♦ New Mexico ♦ California ♦ Beijing

Website: <http://www.raonline.org>



About The Regulatory Assistance Project

- Non-profit organization formed in 1992 by former utility regulators
- Principals are former regulators from Maine, Vermont, New Mexico and California
- Principal funding:
 - The Energy Foundation
 - US DOE and
 - US EPA
- Provides workshop and educational assistance to legislators, regulators and other government agencies



Clean Energy Issues & Barriers

- Energy Efficiency
 - Customer Economics
 - Split incentives & benefits
 - Up-front capital
 - Utility Business Model
 - Presence of disincentives
 - Lack of positive incentives
 - Regulatory Approach
 - Benefit-cost tests
 - Lack of supply-side and demand-side parity
 - Rate design
- Customer-owned clean generation
- Utility-owned clean generation
- Future carbon constraints



Energy Efficiency Solutions: Customer Economics

- Customer incentives
 - Traditional approach
 - Well-proven
 - Requires continuing program design effort
- Codes and Standards
 - Provides greatest potential
 - May be more politically difficult
 - Requires enforcement
- “Efficiency on sale” triggers for building efficiency
 - Energy Audits
 - Efficiency upgrades



Energy Efficiency Solutions: Utility Business Model

- Throughput incentive
 - Cost and capitalization makes profits extremely sensitive to changes in sales (e.g. 1% sales \approx 25% of profits)
 - Profit motives runs directly counter to public policy favoring efficiency
 - Decoupling revenues from sales eliminates this problem
- Positive incentives
 - Shared benefits
 - Cost capitalization/Bonus rate of return
 - Performance target & reward



Energy Efficiency: Regulatory Policies

➤ Benefit-cost tests

- Narrow tests (in particular the Ratepayer Impact Measure or “RIM” test)
 - Generally eliminate otherwise cost-effective energy efficiency from utility programs
 - Should be used at aggregate level only to judge overall impact of utility programs
 - Should not be used to screen programs
- Broader tests (in particular the Societal Cost Test) more correctly reflect true benefits and costs of energy efficiency

➤ Supply-side and demand-side parity

- Efficiency traditionally not viewed by utility planners as “equal” to supply-side solutions
- Planning process should consider them as substitutes, using benefit cost analysis and least cost planning criteria
- Demand-side resources need to be included as tools in utility planners’ toolbox – not just wires and turbines or pipes and compressors



Energy Efficiency: Regulatory Policies

➤ Price vs. Bill Dilemma

- Consumer advocates traditionally focus on price effects
- Energy efficiency may raise prices, but lower total cost to serve customers
- Consumers advocates need clear charge to support all cost-effective energy efficiency as part of public interest function

➤ Rate Design

- Inclining block rates should be tailored to reflect the end-use trends on system (e.g. if compressed A/C is the trend, set blocks to reflect energy usage of efficient vs inefficient new A/C compressors)
- In carbon constrained world, carbon emissions may vary with time of day presenting new rate design challenges and opportunities



Customer-owned Clean Generation

- Presents same revenue-profit problem as energy efficiency
 - Decoupling solves disincentive issues
 - Some energy efficiency positive incentives may work (e.g. performance target & reward)
- Also has safety and operational dimension
 - Utility planners (especially at the distribution system level) need training and experience
 - Many niche values may be present but not identified with traditional planning
- May run afoul of building codes, property covenants and land use restrictions or be perceived to be electrical code issue
 - May require legislation
 - Requires aggressive education and training of building and electrical inspectors
- With net metering, financial impact on utility and other customers may limit deployment to small penetration levels



Utility-owned Clean Generation

- No utility profit issues as in customer-owned generation
- High front-end costs may:
 - Adversely impact prices early while delivering benefits later
 - Require modification of benefit cost analyses or use of alternative approaches
- Many renewable technologies do not meet traditional least-cost standards
 - Where Renewable Portfolio Standard is used, utilities need clear policy support to deploy renewable energy, including certainty in recovery of reasonable costs
 - Where no RPS, or for deployment of additional renewable energy, utilities need clear policy favoring deployment, but are likely constrained by overall price impact
 - Environmental externalities should be included in all analyses to assist in decision-making



Dealing with Carbon Constraints

- Utilities may face tough choices now or in near future about new resources to build or buy
- High carbon choices may look cheaper today, but may not be sustainable in a low-carbon world
 - May result in large stranded costs or unacceptably high cost burdens for carbon emitting resources when carbon costs become fully monetized
- In the meantime, all planning choices should include a value for carbon cost



Essential Ingredient of Success: Leadership

- Legislators and regulators should provide clear and strong policy guidance to utilities, regulators and public advocates
- Utilities need to be frank about financial objectives and constraints associated with gaining their enthusiastic support of public policy goals
- Consumer advocates should recognize constraints of public policies favoring clean resources
- Policy framework should seek to reach clean energy and carbon goals at least-cost to society, while fairly allocating costs and burdens among utility customers



Thanks for your attention

- Contact: wshirley@raponline.org
- Website: <http://www.raponline.org>